THE HONOURABLE SHRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION No. 11234 OF 2005

Between:

M.Parijatha W/o D.Siva Prasad

… Petitioner

And

The Convenor, EdCET-2004,

The Regional Joint Director of School Education

Kakinada-533 004 and another.

… Respondents.

ORDER:

The petitioner, who claims to be physically handicapped, appeared for the common entrance test for admission into B.Ed. course conducted in the year 2004 and secured state rank of 97961 and local rank of 42,710. Based on her ranking, she was initially allotted a seat in V.P.R. College of Education, Proddatur. Thereafter, she was referred by the Medical Board to Sarojini Devi Eye Hospital, which certified the disability of the petitioner as 30%. Based on the disability certificate issued by the Sarojini Devi Hospital, the seat allotted to the petitioner was cancelled,

aggrieved by which, the petitioner filed the present writ petition.

It is the case of the petitioner that the District Medical Board, Kadapa issued disability certificate wherein her disability was certified as 40%, and, as she lost sight in one eye, the percentage is more than 30%. It is also submitted that the Convenor, EdCET allotted seats to the physically handicapped persons, who are having disability upto 40%. Therefore, she questions the action of the respondents in not allotting the seat in EdCET-2004.

It is not in dispute that admissions pursuant to EdCET-2004 were completed in the month of May, 2005 and no direction can be given now with regard to the said admissions. However, during the course of hearing, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that she also appeared in EdCET-2005, for which counselling is going on now, in which, event, she should have taken steps to seek directions with reference to her appearance in EdCET-2005 by impleading the necessary parties. In that view of the matter, the question of issuing of any directions in respect of admissions pursuant to EdCET-2004 will not arise at this point of time. The writ petition, therefore, is dismissed. No costs.

However, it is left open to the petitioner, if she so wishes, to agitate her cause in respect of EdCET-2005 by filing a fresh writ petition.

10.4.2006. **(R.SUBHASH REDDY, J)**